## **Arizona Supreme Court**

**Civil Petition for Review - Family Court** 

CV-24-0078-	PR		GUTIERREZ v MERAZ				
Appellate Case In	formation	Dept/Compositio	n———				
Case Filed:	16-Apr-2024						
Case Closed:							

## Side 1. JIM MENDOZA GUTIERREZ, Petitioner/Appellee

(Litigant Group) JIM MENDOZA GUTIERREZ

24 0079 DD

Attorneys for: Petitioner/Appellee Jim Mendoza Gutierrez Sarah E Collier, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 21716)

## Side 2. VANESSA MERAZ, Respondent/Appellant

(Litigant Group) VANESSA MERAZ

PRO SE Vanessa Meraz

PREDECE 2 CA	ESSOR CASE(S) 2 CA-CV 22-0021	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
♥ PIM	D20183635			Cynthia T Kuhn, Judge on PC		
				Comments: (none)		

## **2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 16-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant Meraz, Pro Se)
- Appellant Meraz, pro se, filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on April 16, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona 2. 17-Apr-2024 Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by April 26, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)